IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

GERRICK GAMBRELL,

Petitioner,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:11-CV-106 (BAILEY)

WARDEN KUMA J. DEBOO.

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge David J. Joel. By Local Rule, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Joel for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Joel filed his R&R on February 13, 2012 [Doc. 9]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court deny and dismiss petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] without prejudice to his right to file his claim as a civil rights action [Doc. 9 at 2-3].

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo*

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Joel's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

The docket reflects that service was accepted on February 15, 2012 [Doc. 10]. To date,

no objections have been filed.

Upon careful review of the report and recommendation, it is the opinion of this Court

that the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. 9] should be, and is, hereby

ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report.

As such, this Court hereby **DENIES and DISMISSES** the petitioner's Petition for Habeas

Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] without prejudice to the petitioner's right

to file his claim as a civil rights action. Therefore, this matter is hereby ORDERED

STRICKEN from the active docket of this Court. The Clerk is directed to enter a separate

judgment in favor of the respondent.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

DATED: March 13, 2012.

CHIÈF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE